Literature Review of Oil Spill Trajectory and Weathering Models for Inland Waters

Eric Comerma
RPS
Eric.Comerma@rpsgroup.com

Jacqueline Michel Research Planning Inc. (RPI) jmichel@researchplanning.com Jill Rowe *RPS* Jill.Rowe@rpsgroup.com

Dagmar Schmidt Etkin Environmental Research Consulting (ERC) etkin@environmentalresearch.com Alicia Morandi *RPS* Alicia.Morandi@rpsgroup.com

> Erik DeMicco ExxonMobil Upstream Research Company 'Demicco, Erik D'

Introduction

A study was conducted to obtain further understanding of new oil spill response technologies to meet the needs of the inland spill response community. Part of the study was to evaluate the effectiveness and availability of current technologies related to oil weathering and trajectory modeling in various environments. To evaluate existing oil spill models for inland waters, a review of the major physical and chemical processes resolved by the models was completed. We provide an overview of the advantages and considerations of oil spill models currently available, a description of the physical and chemical processes included in each model evaluated, and a list of recommendations to improve oil weathering models and trajectory models for the conditions that occur in inland waters.

Main Results

Oil spill numerical models were initially developed decades ago in coastal and offshore waters to rapidly forecast an event to help first responders. Accordingly, fewer models have been designed to address the challenges of oil spills in rivers or lakes. Some differences to consider between modeling oil spills in marine and inland water environments include lower water density, smaller/narrower waterbodies, shallower depths, faster currents, larger areas for shoreline-oil interactions, and terrain effects on wind at the water surface. While oil spill models developed for coastal and marine applications can be applied to inland oil spills, several key characteristics of inland spills affecting the fate and transport of oil, such as slower rates of spreading and evaporation, reduced dilution, abrupt mixing during turbulence flow over dams and waterfalls, increased emulsification, and increased dilution, need to be considered. Table 1 describes these key modeling aspects for inland waters.

Type of Process	Processes to be simulated		
Physical transport	- Advection		
and mixing processes	– Dispersion by turbulence, current shear, and groundwater inflow (3D mixing		
	– Mechanical spreading of the oil slick floating on the water surface		
	– Coalescence of oil droplets		
	- Entrainment of oil droplets in water column		
	- Resurfacing of entrained oil		
	- Diffusion of dissolved component from higher to lower concentrations		
Chemical/weathering	– Degradation (bio- and photo-)		
processes	- Dissolution of water-soluble components into water column		
	– Emulsification (forms water-in-oil mousse)		
	- Evaporation of volatile components from surface oil to atmosphere		
	- Volatilization of dissolved components to atmosphere		

Table 1. Physical, Chemical, and Environmental Processes to be Reproduced by an Oil Spill Model.

Type of Process	Processes to be simulated
Interactions with the	– Oil-ice interaction
environment	- Shoreline habitat interactions (reed beds, mangroves, tidal flats)
	- Standing and refloating of shoreline stranded oil
	- Adherence of oil droplets to suspended particulate matter (SPM)
	 Adsorption of dissolved components to SPM
	- Sedimentation and resuspension of oil-mineral-aggregates (OMA)
	- Bioturbation of settled sediments
	– Hyporheic flow (movement of water through stream bed sediments)

Key Modeling Aspects for Inland Waters

One of the major differences between modeling oil spills in marine and inland water environments is the more variable nature of inland waterways on smaller and shorter spatial and temporal scales. River flow regimes involve areas with fast currents mixed with those of low-flow, as well as times of high flux versus receding flood waters. Inland waterway spills are often more frequent but involve smaller spill volumes. They are also closer to populated areas, intense industrial activities (power plants, industry, or thermal intake/discharges), and drinking water intakes, making them higher risk despite the smaller volumes of spilled oil. As such, it is critical that the oil spill model should be able to track the oil-soluble components (e.g., monoaromatic hydrocarbons, polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons, and soluble aliphatics). Some of the physical characteristics of inland waters that potentially affect oil spill transport and fate processes include lower water density/salinity leading to more sunken/subsurface oil behavior; smaller/narrower water bodies leading to increased oil-in-water concentrations; shallower depths, faster currents; proportionally larger areas for shoreline-oil interaction; snow/ice cover affecting transport; variable water level (i.e., flooding and drying); changing slick area extent with water level changes; and terrain effects on wind at the water's surface.

These physical characteristics impact the chemical/weathering processes occurring in lakes and rivers. Some of the key aspects of weathering in inland waters can include slower rates of spreading and evaporation on water bodies with less surface area and more surrounding vegetative cover; reduced dilution in shallower water bodies with slower currents; abrupt mixing in particular areas from turbulent flow over dams and waterfalls; increased emulsification due to potentially more intense surface mixing; increased dissolution due to formation of smaller droplet sizes from higher turbulence; and higher adsorption/oil-mineral-aggregation (OMA) formation, settling, and resuspension.

Evaluation of Oil Spill Models for Inland Waters

Most widely available oil spill models were initially conceived for ocean/coastal applications. Marine spills were the most common type of oil spill events due to high frequency of maritime transport of petroleum and refined oil products. The key challenges for modeling in the marine environment have been to: a) properly characterize the driving metocean conditions; b) evaluate the long-term fate of oil and its interaction with the marine habitats; and c) validate the model inputs with difficult-to-perform experiments.

These generally applicable oil spill models can also be applied to spills in inland waters (lakes and rivers). However, as noted above, there are key characteristics of inland spills that affect the fate and transport of oil in the freshwater environment that differ from the marine spills. The following model review includes a general overview of some of the advantages and considerations of the major oil spill models currently available. Models were chosen for evaluation based on their inclusion in review papers (Vos, 2005; Foreman et al., 2005; Yapa and Shen, 1994) and whether they were in use or outdated as of the year of their review. The models included in this evaluation have been classified into two categories 1) **2D**

models: *OSIS* (BMT Cordah and NCEC (commercial)), *ROSS3* (Yapa et al., 1994), *NRDAMs* (including NRDAM/ CME Version 2 (French et al., 1996), NRDAM/ CME Version 1 (Reed et al., 1989), NRDAM/ GLE (Reed, 1996)), and *WPMD* (Fingas and Sydor, 1980), and 2) **3D models**: (*SIMAP* (RPS ASA (commercial); French McCay 2003, 2004), *OILMAP* (RPS ASA (commercial), *OSCAR* (SINTEF (commercial); Reed et al., 2000), *DELFT3D-PART* (Deltares, 2014 (publically available), *MIKE 21 & MIKE 3 FM Oil Spill Module* (Danish Hydraulic Institute, 2015 (commercial)), *MOHID* (Technical University of Lisbon (publically available)), and *GNOME* (NOAA OR&R (publically available).

Table 2 provides an overview of the physical and chemical processes included in each category (2D vs. 3D models), as well as the environmental interactions included in each. The list of processes and interactions assessed are listed in Table 1. Other considerations include whether the model assesses trajectory uncertainty or stochastic predictions and whether it incorporates response options.

Process/Interaction	2D Models	3D Models	
Physical Processes			
Advection	100%	100%	
Dispersion (horizontal and vertical mixing)	100%	75%	
Mechanical spreading on water surface	100%	75%	
Entrainment of oil droplets in water column	86%	25%	
Resurfacing of entrained oil	43%	25%	
Chemical Processes			
Degradation (bio- and photo-)	71%	25%	
Dissolution of soluble components	43%	50%	
Emulsification	86%	50%	
Evaporation of volatile components from surface oil to atmosphere	100%	75%	
Volatilization of dissolved components to atmosphere	14%	25%	
Interactions with the Environment			
Oil-ice interactions	57%	50%	
Shoreline-habitat interactions	100%	100%	
Refloating of shoreline stranded oil	86%	0%	
Adherence of droplets to suspended particulate matter (SPM)	29%	0%	
Adsorption of dissolved components to SPM	29%	25%	
Sedimentation of oil-mineral aggregates (OMA)	71%	0%	
Resuspension of OMA	43%	0%	
Other Features			
Trajectory uncertainty/stochastic predictions	57%	25%	
Biological Effects Model	14%	25%	
Response Options	71%	0%	

Table 2. Summary of Physical, Chemical and Environmental Processes in the Models Evaluated.

Key knowledge gaps and considerations for trajectory and oil weathering models evaluated In general, oil weathering models and oil trajectory models for inland waters need further research and understanding of the weathering rate and fate of oil residues in these environments. Additionally, improvements could be made to the currently available oil models to assess the transport and fate of oil and oil:particle aggregates in a variety of environments with a range of oil and sediment types. The majority of the models included in this evaluation could also be better validated through the use of appropriated designed experiments and during actual spills. Lastly, if further development were to occur on a river-specific oil and fate trajectory model, it would be recommended to update the processes that are currently in the ROSS3 model and similar models and integrate them into the other existing oil spill models that have been developed for ocean/coastal applications, as evaluated herein.

Conclusion

The fate and weathering processes that affect released oil depends principally upon several factors, including but not limited to the type of oil (specifically the exact mixture of hydrocarbon compounds present), the volume released, and the characteristics of the receiving environment. Other considerations when modeling inland water spills include the input location (which greatly affects trajectory due to spatially variable geography and currents), whether the oil travels over land before reaching water (affects mass balance and could involve sediment uptake), oil stranding on large woody debris that can then travel (affects transport), and seasonal pulses of water flow and suspended particulate matter (SPM) in runoff.

References

- Danish Hydraulic Institute (DHI). 2015. MIKE 21 & MIKE 3 Flow Model FM Oil Spill Module Short Description. Available on-line at <u>http://mikepoweredbydhi.com/download/product-documentation</u>. Accessed 30 November 2016.
- Deltares. 2014. D-Waq PART. Simulation of mid-field water quality and oil spills, using particle tracking. User Manual. Version 2.15.34131. Available on-line at <u>https://oss.deltares.nl/documents/183920/185723/Delft3D-PART_User_Manual.pdf</u>. Accessed 30 November 2016.
- Fingas, M. and M. Sydor. 1980. Development of an oil spill model for the St. Lawrence River. Technical Bulletin No. 116, Inland Waters Directorate, Water Management and Planning Branch, 1980, Ottawa, Canada.
- Foreman, M.G.G., L. Beauchemin, J.Y. Cherniawsky, M.A. Pena, P.F. Cummins, and G. Sutherland. 2005. A review of models in support of oil and gas exploration off the north coast of British Columbia. Canadian Technical Report of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 2612. Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Sidney, B.C. 64 pp.
- French, D., M. Reed, K. Jayko, S. Feng, H. Rines, S. Pavignano, T. Isaji, S. Puckett, A. Keller, F. W.
 French III, D. Gifford, J. McCue, G. Brown, E. MacDonald, J. Quirk, S. Natzke, R. Bishop, M.
 Welsh, M. Phillips and B.S. Ingram, 1996. The CERCLA type A natural resource damage assessment model for coastal and marine environments (NRDAM/CME), Technical Documentation, Vol. I V.
 Final Report, submitted to the Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance, U.S. Dept. of the Interior, Washington, DC, April, 1996; Available from National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161, PB96-501788. [published as part of the CERCLA type A NRDA Final Rule; U.S. Federal Register, May 7, 1996, Vol. 61, No. 89, p. 20559-20614.]
- French McCay, D.P., 2003. Development and Application of Damage Assessment Modeling: Example Assessment for the North Cape Oil Spill. Marine Pollution Bulletin 47(9-12): 341-359.
- French McCay, D.P., 2004. Oil spill impact modeling: development and validation. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 23(10): 2441-2456.
- Reed, M. 1989. The physical fates component of the CERCLA Type A damage Assessment Model system. Oil and Chemical Pollution 5:99-123.
- Reed, M., D.P. French, S. Feng, F.W. French III, E. Howlett, K, Jayko, W. Knauss, J. McCue, S. Pavignano, S. Puckett, H. Rines, R.Bishop, M. Welsh, and J. Press, The CERCLA type a natural resource damage assessment model for the Great Lakes environments (NRDAM/GLE), Vol. I Technical Documentation, Final Report, Submitted to Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, DC, by Applied Science Associates, Inc., Narragansett, RI, Contract No. 14-01-0001-88-C-27, April 1996.

- Reed, M., P.S. Daling, O.G. Brakstd, I. Singsaas, L.-G. Faksness, B. Hetland, and N. Efrol, 2000. OSCAR 2000: A multi-component 3-dimensional oil spill contingency and response model. in Proceedings of the 23rd Arctic Marine Oilspill Program (AMOP) Technical Seminar, Emergencies Science Division, Environment Canada, Ottawa, ON, Canada, pp.663-952.
- Vos, R.K. 2005. Comparison of 5 oil-weathering models. Werkdocument RIKZ/ZD/2005.011W. Ministerie van Verkeer en Waterstaat. Rijkswaterstaat. 49 pp.
- Yapa, P.D. and H.T. Shen. 1994. Modeling river oil spills: a review. Journal of Hydraulic Research, 32(5):765-782.
- Yapa, P.D., H.T. Shen, and K. Angammana. 1994. Modeling oil spills in a river-lake system. Journal of Marine Systems, 1994(4):453-471.